

ADULT CARE AND HEALTH PDS COMMITTEE
24th November 2020

WRITTEN QUESTION TO THE ADULT CARE AND HEALTH PORTFOLIO
HOLDER

**Written Question to the Adult Care and Health Portfolio Holder received
from Councillor Ian Dunn**

- 1) Annual Quality Monitoring Report –
Given that CQC reports are public, can the Portfolio Holder please explain why the names of the providers rated “Inadequate” and “Requires Improvement” are not included in the Part 1 (Public) Report.

Reply:

Thank you for your question.

The inclusion of the detailed names of providers in this report has previously been the subject of debate at the PDS committee. Following discussion at the November 2018 PDS, where the Domiciliary Care Monitoring report including all reference to named providers was presented to the Committee in a Part 2 report, it was agreed that a Part 1 and Part 2 report would be shared at future meetings with the names of those providers rated inadequate or requires improvement only being detailed in the Part 2 report.

This decision was made following detailed discussion and reflects the time lag that exists when providers may have improved their services but this has not been recognised by CQC due to the timing of inspection visits.

I do not feel it is appropriate to revisit this decision once again.